



**Syddansk Universitet**

## **General anaesthesia under the age of 4 years has minimal impact on future academic performance**

Friend, Amanda Jane; Engelhardt, Thomas; Hansen, Tom Giedsing

*Published in:*

Archives of Disease in Childhood. Education and Practice Edition

*DOI:*

[10.1136/archdischild-2016-312518](https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2016-312518)

*Publication date:*

2017

*Document version*

Peer reviewed version

*Citation for pulished version (APA):*

Friend, A. J., Engelhardt, T., & Hansen, T. (2017). General anaesthesia under the age of 4 years has minimal impact on future academic performance. Archives of Disease in Childhood. Education and Practice Edition, 102(5), [276]. DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2016-312518

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Cohort Study PICKET

**Declarative Title:** General anaesthesia under the age of 4 years has minimal impact on future academic performance.  
STUDY DESIGN

Design: Cohort study

### STUDY QUESTION

Patients: All children born in Sweden between 1973 and 1993 who had a single anaesthetic exposure before age 4 years (33514 children).

Comparison: 159 619 matched children who had not been exposed to anaesthesia.

Outcomes: Average (mean) grades in school at age 16 years and IQ test scores at age 18 years obtained at the time of military conscription.

### MAIN RESULTS

Outcomes	Mean (95% CI)
Mean reduction in school grades at age 16 cf unexposed children	0.41% (0.7% to 0.12%)
Mean reduction in IQ scores at age 18 cf unexposed children	0.97% (0.15% to 1.78%)
	OR (95% CI)
Likelihood of having grades below 10 <sup>th</sup> percentile at age 16 cf unexposed children	1.02 (0.98 to 1.07)
Likelihood of having no recorded school grades at age 16 cf unexposed children	1.29 (1.17 to 1.42)

### CONCLUSION

Although general anaesthesia under the age of 4 was associated with a statistically significant reduction in school grades at age 16 and IQ scores at age 18, the actual differences were minimal (around 1% for IQ scores and 0.5% for school grades). This small risk to future academic performance must be balanced against risks and benefits of postponing surgery in young children.

### ABSTRACTED FROM

Glatz, P., Sandin, R.H., Pedersen, N.L., Bonamy, A.K., Eriksson, L.I., Granath, F. 2016 Association of Anesthesia and Surgery During Childhood With Long-term Academic Performance

**Amanda J Friend, Division of Women's and Children's Health,  
University of Leeds School of Medicine, Leeds, UK**  
[Amanda.friend@nhs.net](mailto:Amanda.friend@nhs.net)

As far as epidemiological studies of children undergoing anaesthesia go - this is probably as good as you can get them. This large-scale, nation-wide Swedish study addresses a fundamental question in paediatric anaesthesia: Is general anaesthesia harmful to the developing brain? Statistically seen possibly 'yes' but clearly other factors matter much more to the child. These factors include sex, age of string school and maternal background. The finer details of this complex interaction of surgery, co-morbidities, social background and perioperative care are more relevant to the individual. It appears that the conduct of anaesthesia rather than the drugs is responsible for neurocognitive impairment and is starting to receive the attention it deserves. (1) This study in conjunction with 2 recently published prospective clinical trials is likely to result in a decrease in pre-clinical anaesthesia research activity. (2,3) On the other hand, prospective quality improvement programmes will further improve the of safety perioperative care in children. Research funding should and will be redirected from finding the cure for a non-existing 'disease of anaesthesia' to cement the fundamental role of education, teaching and research in paediatric anaesthesia. Children deserve to be treated in a competent and child-friendly environment by knowledgeable and appropriately trained paediatric staff. They should not be pawns to serve individual or institutional ambitions. One additional notable result of this study is establishment of 'academic performance' (an apical outcome measure) as a valid and pragmatic outcome measure for epidemiological studies in children. This is what matters most to the parents and society. Such studies will enable the global comparison of 'big data' projects and measure the effects of intervention.

1. Weiss M et al Safe Anesthesia For Every Tot - The SAFETOTS initiative. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2015;28:302-7.

2. Davidson AJ et al. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years of age after general anaesthesia and awake-regional anaesthesia in infancy (GAS): an international multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2016; 387(10015):239-50.

3. Sun LS et al. Association Between a Single General Anesthesia Exposure Before Age 36 Months and Neurocognitive Outcomes in Later Childhood. *JAMA.* 2016;315(21):2312-20.

Englehardt, T., Hansen, T.G.